# Multi-product firms under monopolistic competition: the choice of scope

S.Kokovin, Ph.Ushchev, E.Zhelobodko

4 May 2012

### Stylized facts about multi-product firms

- Multi-product firms account for the most part of industrial output;
- Intensive margins and extensive margins of industrial firms are positively correlated:
  - Bernard, A.B., S.J.Redding and P.K.Schott (2010). Multi-Product Firms and Product Switching // American Economic Review 100:70-97.
  - Goldberg, P., A. Khandewal, N. Pavnik and P. Topalova (2008). Multi-product Firms and Product Turnover in the Developing World: Evidence from India. NBER Working Paper No. 14127.
- There is positive correlation between the firm's size and the efficiency its of R&D projects:
  - Henderson, R. and I. Cockburn (1996). Scale, Scope, and Spillovers: The Determinants of Research Productivity in Drug Discovery // The RAND Journal of Economics Vol. 27, No. 1 (Spring, 1996), pp. 32-59
  - Cockburn, I. and R. Henderson (2001). Scale and scope in drug development: unpacking the advantages of size in pharmaceutical research // Journal of Health Economics, Vol. 20, No 6, pp. 1033 1057.

A = A = A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

### Theoretical literature on multi-product firms

- Ottaviano G.I.P. and J.F. Thisse (1999). Monopolistic Competition, Multiproduct Firms and Optimum Product Diversity. CORE discussion paper 9919.
- Nocke, V. and S. Yeaple (2006). Globalization and Endogenous firm scope. NBER working paper 12322.
- Feenstra, R. and H. Ma (2007). Optimal choice of product scope for multiproduct firms under monopolistic competition. NBER working paper 13703.
- Eckel, C. and J.P. Neary (2010). Multi-Product Firms and Flexible Manufacturing in the Global Economy // The Review of Economic Studies, Vol. 77, pp. 188–217.

### Questions we are trying to answer

- Do large markets necessarily exacerbate innovation?
- Does market structure depend on supply side characteristics?
- Is cannibalization effect inevitable?

### Our starting point

- Allanson, P. and C. Montagna (2005). Multi-product Firms and Market Structure: an Explorative Application to the Product Life Cycle // International Journal of Industrial Organization, Vol. 23, No. 7 – 8, pp. 587 – 597.
- Zhelobodko, E., S.Kokovin, M. Parenti and J.-F. Thisse (2012). Monopolistic competition in general equilibrium: beyond the CES // Econometrica, forthcoming.





- 2 Equilibrium conditions
- 3 Comparative statics with respect to the market size
- 4 An extension: non-separable costs

### Commodities and market structure

- There is a continuum of firms of measure *N*.
- Each firm  $j, j \in [0, N]$ , chooses:
  - its product line scope *n<sub>i</sub>*;
  - its production plan  $(q_{ij})$ .
- Products are assumed to be horizontally differentiated across firms as well as within the product lines of the firms.
- Each firm is a monopolist on the market of each product it chooses to produce.

### Consumers

• The economy is inhabited by *L* identical consumers, each of whom forms her individual demands *x*<sub>ij</sub> in order to maximize her utility function:

$$\mathscr{U} = \int_{0}^{N} \int_{0}^{n_j} u(x_{ij}) \, di \, dj,$$

subject to the budget constraint:

$$\int_{0}^{N}\int_{0}^{n_j}p_{ij}x_{ij}\,di\,dj\leq 1.$$

- The function *u* is the elementary utility function, assumed to be:
  - increasing and concave;
  - exhibiting the relative love for variety, i.e.  $0 < r_u(x) < 1$   $\forall x \ge 0$ , where  $r_u(x) = -\frac{x u''(x)}{u'(x)}$ .

### Inverse demand functions

• Solving the consumer's problem, we obtain the inverse demand functions:

$$p_{ij}=rac{u'(x_{ij})}{\lambda}.$$

- λ is a Lagrange multiplier, which can be treated as some aggregate market statistics.
- NB!! As there is a continuum of firms, the individual influence of each firm on  $\lambda$  is negligible.

### Firms

- Each firm incurs costs of three types:
  - fixed costs F;
  - R&D costs (or control costs)  $\psi(n)$ , where *n* is the scope;
  - variable production costs  $\varphi(y)$ , where  $y = \int_0^n q_i di$  is total output.
- The variable cost functions  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are assumed to be:
  - twice continously differentiable;
  - increasing;
  - convex, and at least one of them is strictly convex.
- Each firm maximizes its *profit function*:

$$\Pi = \int_{0}^{n} p_{i}q_{i}di - F - \varphi\left(\int_{0}^{n} q_{i}di\right) - \psi(n).$$

### Symmetric equilibrium conditions

The "unit elasticity" condition:

$$\frac{\varphi'(y)y}{F+\varphi(y)+\psi(n)}+\frac{\psi'(n)n}{F+\varphi(y)+\psi(n)}=1.$$

The markup condition:

$$\rho = \frac{\varphi'(y)}{1-r_u}$$

Free entry:

$$py = F + \varphi(y) + \psi(n).$$

Labour balance:

$$L = N(F + \varphi(y) + \psi(n)).$$

### Existence and uniqueness of equilibrium

#### Definition

A symmetric equilibrium is a quadruple  $(y^*, n^*, p^*, N^*)$  which solves the system of equilibrium conditions.

#### Proposition

Assume that there exists some  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $\varepsilon < r_u(x) < 1 - \varepsilon \ \forall x \ge 0$ . Then a unique equilibrium  $(y^*, n^*, p^*, N^*)$  exists.

### The reactions of $q^*$ , $p^*$ and $n^*N^*$

#### Proposition

The average output  $q^*$ , the market price  $p^*$  and the total mass of varieties  $n^*N^*$  respond to an increase in market size according to the following three patterns, depending only on the RLV behavior:

RLV behavior	$r'_{u} > 0$	$r'_u = 0$	<i>r</i> ' <sub><i>u</i></sub> < 0
$\mathscr{E}_{p/L}$	$-r_u < \mathscr{E}_{p/L} < 0$	$\mathscr{E}_{p} = 0$	&p>0
$\mathscr{E}_{q/L}$	$0 < \mathscr{E}_q < 1$	$\mathscr{E}_q = 0$	<i>E</i> <sub>q</sub> < 0
$\mathscr{E}_{nN/L}$	$0 < \mathscr{E}_{nN} < 1$	$\mathcal{E}_{nN} = 1$	$\mathcal{E}_{nN} > 1$

### The reactions of $n^*$ , $y^*$ and $Y^*$

#### Proposition

The scope  $n^*$ , the total output of a firm  $y^*$  and the total output in the industry  $Y^* = N^* y^*$  respond to an increase in market size according to the following three patterns:

RLV behavior	$r'_{u} > 0$	$r'_{u} = 0$	<i>r</i> ' <sub><i>u</i></sub> < 0
$\mathscr{E}_{n/L}$	$-1 < \mathscr{E}_{n/L} < 0$	$\mathscr{E}_n = 0$	<i>E</i> <sub>n</sub> > 0
$\mathscr{E}_{y/L}$	$0 < \mathscr{E}_{y/L} < 1$	$\mathscr{E}_{y/L} = 0$	$\mathscr{E}_{y/L} < 0$
$\mathscr{E}_{Y/L}$	$\mathscr{E}_{Y/L} > 1$	$\mathscr{E}_{Y/L} = 1$	$\mathscr{E}_{Y/L} < 1$

### Discussion

- If we "forget" for a while that some varieties may be produced by the same firm, we will see the same market outcome as in the single-product ZKPT model.
- The market outcome depends crucially on whether the elasticity of substitution is *decreasing* or *increasing* with respect to the individual consumption level. The case of CES preferences is *a borderline*.
- The supply side is *irrelevant* to the selection of a market outcome pattern.
- The average output *q*<sup>\*</sup> and the scope *n*<sup>\*</sup> always go in the opposite directions, i.e. *cannibalization effect* occurs.
- The function  $Y^*(L)$  is the aggregate production function of the industry. The *increasing* (*decreasing*) marginal product of labour in the industry takes place under *decreasing* (*increasing*) elasticity of substitution.
- So far, we have compared the elasticity of total industrial output *Y*\* with unity but *not with zero*. Is it possible that the total industrial output *decreases* in response to a market size increase?

### Reactions of the number of firms $N^*$

#### Proposition

The reactions of the number of firms  $N^*$  to the changes in the market size are as follows:

Costs behavior	RLV behavior			
Costs beliavior	$r'_{u} > 0$	$r'_{u} = 0$	<i>r</i> ' <sub><i>u</i></sub> < 0	
$\frac{\varphi''(y)y}{\varphi'(y)} < \frac{\psi''(n)n}{\psi'(n)}$	$0 < \mathcal{E}_{N/L} < 1$	$\mathcal{E}_{N/L} = 1$	$\mathcal{E}_{N/L} > 1$	
$\frac{\varphi''(y)y}{\varphi'(y)} = \frac{\psi''(n)n}{\psi'(n)}$	$\mathscr{E}_{N/L} = 1$	$\mathcal{E}_{N/L} = 1$	$\mathcal{E}_{N/L} = 1$	
$\frac{\varphi''(y)y}{\varphi'(y)} > \frac{\psi''(n)n}{\psi'(n)}$	$\mathcal{E}_{N/L} > 1$	$\mathcal{E}_{N/L} = 1$	$\mathcal{E}_{N/L} < 1$	

### Discussion

- The supply side is crucial for the behavior of the mass of firms.
- If, for example,  $\varphi$  is linear while  $\psi$  is strictly convex, economies of scale are *stronger* than economies of scope. The behavior of the mass of firms is then the same as in the single-product ZKPT model.
- If economies of scope are stronger than economies of scale, the reverse takes place.

### $\mathscr{E}_{N/L} < 0$ : an example



Kokovin, Ushchev, Zhelobodko Multi-product firms under monopolistic competition

< 🗇 > < 🖻 >

< ∃⇒

### Scale-scope spillovers

- Empiricists find positive correlation between the firm's size and the efficiency its of R&D projects.
- The additively separable variable cost function is unable to catch this regularity.
- So, consider a variable cost function C(y, n) of general type.
- Call *C<sub>y</sub>* the *production marginal costs* (or *y-marginal costs*) and *C<sub>n</sub>* the *scope marginal costs* (or *n-marginal costs*).

#### Definition

We say that the technology exhibits *scale-scope spillovers* (or *positive scope externality*) if *y*-marginal costs decrease with respect to scope, or, equivalently, if *n*-marginal costs decrease with respect to total output *y*. Formally:

$$C_{yn} < 0.$$

### Elasticities of marginal costs

- The key-factor of the market outcome is the behavior of  $r_u(x)$ , which is the inverse demand elasticity.
- By analogy, we introduce marginal costs elasticities.
- But complications arise, for we have two different marginal cost *functions*: the *y*-marginal costs and the *n*-marginal costs.
- So, we have *four* marginal costs elasticities:
  - the *y*-elasticity of *y*-marginal costs *y* C<sub>yy</sub>;

    the *n*-elasticity of *y*-marginal costs *n* C<sub>yn</sub>;

    the *y*-elasticity of *n*-marginal costs *y* C<sub>ny</sub>;

    the *n*-elasticity of *n*-marginal costs *n* C<sub>nn</sub>;

### The reactions of $q^*$ , $p^*$ and $n^*N^*$

#### Proposition

The output of a specific variety  $q^*$ , the market price  $p^*$  and the total mass of varieties  $n^*N^*$  respond to an increase in market size according to three patterns, depending only on the RLV behavior:

RLV behavior	case $r'_u > 0$	case $r'_u = 0$	case $r'_u < 0$
$\mathscr{E}_{q/L}$	$0 < \mathscr{E}_{q/L} < 1$	$\mathscr{E}_{q/L} = 0$	$\mathscr{E}_{q/L} < 0$
E <sub>p/L</sub>	$-r_u < \mathscr{E}_{p/L} < 0$	$\mathscr{E}_{p/L} = 0$	$\mathscr{E}_{p/L} > 0$
<sup>E</sup> nN/L	$0 < \mathscr{E}_{nN/L} < 1$	$\mathscr{E}_{nN/L} = 1$	$\mathscr{E}_{nN/L} > 1$

### The reactions of firm's size $y^*$

#### Proposition

The firm's size y<sup>\*</sup> responds to a market size increase according to the following nine patterns:

Costs behavior	RLV behavior			
Costs benavior	case $r'_u > 0$	case $r'_u = 0$	case $r'_u < 0$	
case $\frac{C_{nn}n}{C_n} + \frac{C_{ny}y}{C_n} > 0$	$\mathscr{E}_{y/L} > 0$	$\mathscr{E}_{y/L} = 0$	$\mathscr{E}_{y/L} < 0$	
case $\frac{C_{nn}n}{C_n} + \frac{C_{ny}y}{C_n} = 0$	$\mathscr{E}_{y/L}=0$	$\mathscr{E}_{y/L} = 0$	$\mathscr{E}_{y/L} = 0$	
case $\frac{C_{nn}n}{C_n} + \frac{C_{ny}y}{C_n} < 0$	$\mathscr{E}_{y/L} < 0$	$\mathscr{E}_{y/L} = 0$	ℰ <sub>y/L</sub> > 0	

### The reactions of firm's scope $n^*$

#### Proposition

The firm's scope  $n^*$  responds to a market size increase according to the following nine patterns:

Costs behavior	RLV behavior			
Costs benavior	case $r'_u > 0$	case $r'_u = 0$	case $r'_u < 0$	
case $\frac{C_{yy}y}{C_y} + \frac{C_{yn}n}{C_y} > 0$	<i>E</i> <sub>n/L</sub> < 0	$\mathscr{E}_{n/L} = 0$	<i>ℰ<sub>n/L</sub></i> > 0	
case $\frac{C_{yy}y}{C_y} + \frac{C_{yn}n}{C_y} = 0$	$\mathscr{E}_{n/L} = 0$	<i>ℰ<sub>n/L</sub></i> = 0	$\mathscr{E}_{n/L} = 0$	
case $\frac{C_{yy}y}{C_y} + \frac{C_{yn}n}{C_y} < 0$	<i>E</i> <sub>n/L</sub> > 0	$\mathscr{E}_{n/L}=0$	ℰ <sub>n/L</sub> < 0	

### The reactions of the mass of firms $N^*$

#### Proposition

The mass of firms  $N^*$  responds to a market size increase according to the following nine patterns:

Costs behavior	RLV behavior		
	case $r'_u > 0$	case $r'_u = 0$	case $r'_u < 0$
case $\frac{C_{yy}y}{C_y} + \frac{C_{yn}n}{C_y} > \frac{C_{nn}n}{C_n} + \frac{C_{ny}y}{C_n}$	$\mathcal{E}_{N/L} > 1$	$\mathcal{E}_{N/L} = 1$	$\mathcal{E}_{N/L} < 1$
case $\frac{C_{yy}y}{C_y} + \frac{C_{yn}n}{C_y} = \frac{C_{nn}n}{C_n} + \frac{C_{ny}y}{C_n}$	$\mathscr{E}_{N/L} = 1$	$\mathscr{E}_{N/L} = 1$	$\mathscr{E}_{N/L} = 1$
case $\frac{C_{yy}y}{C_y} + \frac{C_{yn}n}{C_y} < \frac{C_{nn}n}{C_n} + \frac{C_{ny}y}{C_n}$	$\mathscr{E}_{N/L} < 1$	$\mathscr{E}_{N/L} = 1$	$\mathcal{E}_{N/L} > 1$

Kokovin, Ushchev, Zhelobodko

Multi-product firms under monopolistic competition

### Discussion

- The ordering of marginal costs elasticities is crucial for the market outcome under scale-scope spillovers.
- The impacts of the market size on the average output  $q^*$  and the scope  $n^*$  have opposite signs if and only if  $\frac{C_{yy}y}{C_y} + \frac{C_{yn}n}{C_y} > 0$ . Otherwise, the impacts have the same sign determined by the RLV behavior.
- The condition  $\frac{C_{yy}y}{C_y} + \frac{C_{yn}n}{C_y} > 0$  is thus a *cannibalization condition*. Intuitively, cannibalization arises under *relatively low positive scope externalities*.

### Plans for further work

- Heterogeneity of firms;
- The open economy case;
- Endogenous choice between producing a single product and multiple products.

## Thank you for your attention!