

Two-factor trade model with monopolistic competition

S. Kichko, S. Kokovin, E. Zhelobodko

NRU HSE

Introduction: main questions

- Impact of differences in endowment of capital: consumption, product price, capital price, dumping & reverse-dumping, value of export.
- Relative number of firms and relative GDP.

- Firms operating in bigger markets have lower markups (Syverson, 2007).
- producers use price-discrimination for different countries (Martin, 2009; Manova and Zhang, 2009)
- dumping (reverse-dumping) means that export price is lower (higher) than domestic price increased by trade cost, and such differences are typical (Bernard et al., 2007)

- CES-function predicts constant mark-up and price with number of firm and market size.
- CES predict constant firm size w.r.t market size.
- CES predict same net (without transport cost) prices for domestic and foreign markets.
- Quadratic-utility function “OTT”(Ottaviano, Tabuchi, Thisse, 2002) is still specific case, Berliant (2006): “How can we draw general conclusions... from these models if the conclusions change when the utility functions or functional form of transport cost change? Certainly, examples are a first step in a research program. But they are usually not the last.”

Trade model

Monopistic competition assumptions

- 1 Firms produces ***distinguish*** for consumers varieties.
- 2 Each firm produces a **single variety** and chooses its price.
- 3 The number of firms is **big enough** to ignore impact of each firm on the market.
- 4 ***Free entry and exit***, firm profit is zero.

Assumptions of the model

- Economy involves two sectors - differentiated “manufacturing” and “agricultural” sector.
- “Agricultural” firms produce homogeneous good with perfect competition and constant rate of return.
- “Manufacturing” firms produce differentiated good with monopolistic competition and increasing rate of return.
- Economy includes (identical in preferences) L “workers” owns one unit of labor and K “capitalists” owns one unit of capital.
- world economy has similar preferences and technologies and includes two countries - Home and Foreign.

Assumptions of the model

- Agricultural good requires zero trade cost.
- $\tau > 1$ is the “iceberg”-type trade cost for manufactured good.
- There is $L = s_a L + (1 - s_a)L$ of identical workers, s_a and $(1 - s_a)$ - the shares of workers in Home and Foreign countries.
- There is $K = sK + (1 - s)K$ of identical capital owners, s and $(1 - s)$ are the shares of capital owners in countries and $s > \frac{1}{2}$.
- Let x^{ij} be the individual consumption of each variety made in country i and consumed in country j , p^{ij} is the price for x^{ij} .
- Let N^H and N^F denote number of firms in Home and Foreign country.

Model: consumer's problem

Consumer's problem in Home country:

$$\max_{X,A} \left[V \left(\int_0^{N_H} u(x_i^{HH}) di + \int_{N_H}^{N_H+N_F} u(x_i^{FH}) di \right) + A \right]; \quad (1)$$

budget constraint:

$$\int_0^{N_H} p_i^{HH} x_i^{HH} di + \int_{N_H}^{N_H+N_F} p_i^{FH} x_i^{FH} di + A \leq E \quad (2)$$

Here p_a - agricultural good price; A - consumption of agricultural good; E - income of consumer;

$u(\cdot)$ - low-tier utility function; $V(\cdot)$ - upper-tier utility function.

Both utilities strictly increases, strictly concave, thrice continuously differentiable and $u(0) = 0$, $V(0) = 0$.

Model: consumer's problem

Consumer's problem in Foreign country:

$$\max_{X, A} \left[V \left(\int_0^{N_H} u(x_i^{HF}) di + \int_{N_H}^{N_H+N_F} u(x_i^{FF}) di \right) + A \right]; \quad (3)$$

budget constraint:

$$\int_0^{N_H} p_i^{HF} x_i^{HF} di + \int_{N_H}^{N_H+N_F} p_i^{FF} x_i^{FF} di + A \leq E \quad (4)$$

Model: consumer's problem

- The first-order condition for the consumer's problem implies the inverse demand function for varieties:

$$\mathbf{p}(x_k^{HH}, \lambda^H) = \frac{u'(x_k^{HH})}{\lambda^H}, \quad \mathbf{p}(x_k^{FH}) = \frac{u'(x_k^{FH})}{\lambda^H}$$

$$\mathbf{p}(x_k^{FF}, \lambda^H) = \frac{u'(x_k^{FF})}{\lambda^F}, \quad \mathbf{p}(x_k^{HF}) = \frac{u'(x_k^{HF})}{\lambda^F},$$

which the same for both agents types under quasi-linear utility.

- $\lambda^H = \frac{1}{V'(\int_0^{N_H} u(x_k^{HH}) di + \int_{N_H}^{N_H+N_F} u(x_k^{FH}) di)} > 0$ denotes an analogue of the Lagrange multiplier of the "budget constraint" for sub-optimization problem in country H with manufacturing only (unlike real budget multiplier equal to 1).
- λ is interpreted as the marginal utility of expenditure for manufacturing or the intensity of competition in manufacturing.

Model: producer's problem

- Agriculture sector produces homogeneous good with marginal cost of one unit of labor, perfect competition and constant return to scale, so price $p_a \equiv 1$.
- Each manufacturing firm faces fixed cost of one unit of capital and marginal cost of c units of labor.
- Labor is intersectorally mobile \Rightarrow same wages in both sectors. Agricultural good requires zero trade cost \Rightarrow same wages in both countries. Without loss of generality we normalized it to $w = 1$.
- Total production cost of output q

$$C(q) = \pi + cq,$$

where π is the price of capital (interest rate); q is output.

- So, income of workers is $E = 1$ and income of capital owners $E = \pi$.

Model: producer's problem

- **Producer's problem** in Home country:

$$(p_i^{HH}(x_i^{HH}) - c)(sK + s_a L)x_i^{HH} + (p_i^{HF}(x_i^{HF}) - \tau c)((1-s)K + (1-s_a)L)x_i^{HF} - \pi_i^H \rightarrow \max_{x_i^{HH}, x_i^{HF}}, \quad (5)$$

$q_i^H \equiv (sK + s_a L)x_i$ - output of firm in Home country,
 $q_i^F \equiv ((1-s)K + (1-s_a)L)x_i$ - output of firm in Foreign country.

- **Producer's problem** in Foreign country:

$$(p^{FF}(x^{FF}) - c)((1-s)K + (1-s_a)L)x^{FF} + (p^{FH}(x^{FH}) - c\tau)(sK + s_a L)x^{FH} - \pi_i^F \rightarrow \max_{x_i^{FF}, x_i^{FH}}, \quad (6)$$

- Since firms have the same product cost they are identical.

Model: producer's problem

Using the FOC we characterize the symmetric profit-maximizing prices:

$$p^{HH} = \frac{c}{1 - r_u(x^{HH})}, \quad p^{FH} = \frac{\tau c}{1 - r_u(x^{FH})}$$

$$p^{FF} = \frac{c}{1 - r_u(x^{FF})}, \quad p^{HF} = \frac{\tau c}{1 - r_u(x^{HF})},$$

where

$$r_u(x) \equiv |\mathcal{E}_{u'}(x)| \equiv -\frac{xu''(x)}{u'(x)}$$

is the elasticity of the inverse-demand function for variety i and also $r_u(z)$ can be treated as “relative love for variety” (RLV).

Mark-up is:

$$M = \frac{p - c}{p} = r_u(x)$$

Model: equilibrium

- *Symmetric equilibrium includes x^{HH} , x^{FF} , x^{HF} , x^{FH} , N^H , N^F , satisfying:*

$$\frac{u'(x^{HH})}{u'(x^{FH})} = \frac{1}{\tau} \cdot \frac{1 - r_u(x^{FH})}{1 - r_u(x^{HH})}$$

$$V' [sKu(x^{HH}) + (1-s)Ku(x^{FH})] u'(x^{HH}) = \frac{c}{1 - r_u(x^{HH})}$$

$$\frac{u'(x^{FF})}{u'(x^{HF})} = \frac{1}{\tau} \cdot \frac{1 - r_u(x^{HF})}{1 - r_u(x^{FF})}$$

$$V' [sKu(x^{HF}) + (1-s)Ku(x^{FF})] u'(x^{FF}) = \frac{c}{1 - r_u(x^{FF})}$$

This system consists of two independent systems with two equations each.

- Capital balance in each country yields:

$$N^H = sK; \quad N^F = (1-s)K$$

Equilibrium: behavior of individual consumption

- there is not more than one solution $(x^{HH}, x^{FH}, x^{HF}, x^{FF})$ of the equilibrium system.
- individual consumption of any domestically produced variety is higher than the consumption of any imported variety, i.e. $(x^{HH} > x^{FH}, x^{FF} > x^{HF})$.
- consumption of a domestic variety is smaller in the country with higher endowment of capital $(x^{FF} > x^{HH})$.
- There exists such critical value $\hat{s} \in (0.5, 1]$ of capital share s of Home, such that orderings of individual consumptions satisfy:



$$x^{FF} > x^{HF} > x^{HH} > x^{FH} \quad \text{when } s > \hat{s} \quad (\text{very asymmetric countries}),$$



$$x^{FF} > x^{HH} > x^{HF} > x^{FH} \quad \text{when } s < \hat{s} \quad (\text{close to similar countries}).$$

Equilibrium: comparative statics of prices

- Behavior of prices and mark-ups are identical and characterized by
$$r_u(x) = -\frac{xu''(x)}{u'(x)}.$$
- In case increasing RLV ($r'_u(x) > 0$) equilibrium price decreases with number of firms in a country - **pro-competitive effect**.
- In case decreasing RLV ($r'_u(x) < 0$) equilibrium price increases with number of firms in a country - **anti-competitive effect**.
- So, $r_u(x)$ **determines pro-competitive** or **anti-competitive** effect at the market.
- Note that CES-function is boarder-line and equilibrium price doesn't depend on market or sector sizes.

Equilibrium: comparative statics of prices

- growing transport cost τ makes price p^{ij} of any imported variety increasing when RLV decreases (the change being ambiguous in the opposite case), whereas price p^{ii} of any domestic variety increases (decreases) under increasing (decreasing) RLV.
- growing total world capital K makes all prices p^{ii}, p^{ji} of domestic and imported goods decreasing (increasing) under increasing (decreasing) RLV.
- growing country share (s for Home, $(1 - s)$ for Foreign) of world capital makes prices p^{ii}, p^{ji} of domestic and imported goods in this country decreasing (increasing) under increasing (decreasing) RLV.

Equilibrium: dumping effect

Dumping means that export price is lower than domestic price increased by trade cost.

First possible price orderings under **small asymmetry**:

$$x^{FF} > x^{HH} > x^{HF} > x^{FH}$$

- under **pro-competitive** behavior **dumping** pricing practiced by each country:

$$p(x^{FF}) > p(x^{HH}) > \frac{p(x^{HF})}{\tau} > \frac{p(x^{FH})}{\tau}$$

- under **anti-competitive** behavior **reverse-dumping** pricing practiced by each country:

$$p(x^{FF}) < p(x^{HH}) < \frac{p(x^{HF})}{\tau} < \frac{p(x^{FH})}{\tau}$$

Equilibrium: dumping effect

Second possible price orderings under **big asymmetry**:

$$x^{FF} > x^{HF} > x^{HH} > x^{FH}$$

- **pro-competitive** behavior yields dumping used by smaller country and reverse-dumping used by bigger country:

$$p(x^{FF}) > p(x^{HF}) > \frac{p(x^{HH})}{\tau} > \frac{p(x^{FH})}{\tau}$$

- **anti-competitive** behavior yields dumping used by bigger country and reverse-dumping used by smaller country:

$$p(x^{FF}) < p(x^{HF}) < \frac{p(x^{HH})}{\tau} < \frac{p(x^{FH})}{\tau}$$

Equilibrium: value of export

- We study the **impact of difference in capital** among countries. To separate this effect from impacts from heterogeneity in population per se, we consider the *same* populations in both countries: $(sK + s_a L = (1 - s)K + (1 - s_a)L)$, but still $s > \frac{1}{2}$.

- The value exported from Home country equals to:

$$sK(sK + s_a L)p^{HF}x^{HF}$$

- export from Foreign country is:

$$(1 - s)K(sK + s_a L)p^{FH}x^{FH}$$

- Then:

$$sK(sK + s_a L)p^{HF}x^{HF} > (1 - s)K(sK + s_a L)p^{FH}x^{FH}$$

The country with **bigger** endowment of capital is **net exporter** of manufacturing good.

Equilibrium: capital price

- Capital price is **smaller** in country with **bigger** endowment of **capital**:

$$\pi^H < \pi^F$$

Equilibrium: relative number of firms and GDP

- Since population being decomposed into workers and capitalists, we seek some disproportional effect in the “monetary” form.
- we use GDP as the measure of the country size:

$$GDP^H = s_a L + s K \pi^H, \quad GDP^F = (1 - s_a) L + (1 - s) K \pi^F,$$

where GDP^H is GDP of Home country, GDP^F - GDP of Foreign country.

- Then:

$$\frac{N^H}{N^F} = \frac{s}{1-s} > \frac{s_a L + s K \pi^H}{(1-s_a)L + (1-s)K\pi^F} = \frac{GDP^H}{GDP^F}.$$

The trade equilibrium displays that the country with **advantage in capital** (Home) has **disproportionally bigger** number of firms.

Directions of research

- Non-linear marginal cost.
- Heterogeneous firms.
- Agglomeration model.

Thank you for attention!